Typifying the city with pan-European open data A geospatial workflow for identifying modular urban form types as a planning-support tool

Yannis Paraskevopoulos, PhD Candidate

Department of Geography and Regional Planning, National Technical University of Athens, Greece <u>parask.yannis@gmail.com</u>

AGILE PhD School 2024, 7th Edition: GeoAI for the Social Good, University Jaume I, Castellón de la Plana

Urban form types

 We will focus on exploring the typologies of three elemental components of urban form (Smailes, 1953; Lozano, 1990; Cowan, 2005): 1/ built density,
 2/ network centrality and 3/ functional mixture



Source: http://formaurbislab.fa.ulisboa.pt/indexEN.html

 These three elemental components have been used extensively in relevant research exploring urban form types: Berghauser Pont, et al., 2019a; Berghauser Pont, et al., 2019b; Bobkova, et al., 2019; Ye, et al., 2017)

Spatio-functional urban types: Addressing Form + Function, through an open-data geospatial methodological framework



Conceptual and Technical _____ Framework (1st Iteration)

Analytical Pillar	Analytical Component	Morphological Definitions	Spatial Unit	Data Source Dataset
Built Density	Ground Space Index (GSI) Floor Space Index (FSI)	 Spacious, Compact, Dense Low-rise, Mid-rise, High-rise 	Urban Block	Urban Atlas Building Height
Network Centrality	Angular Choice 250m-10km Low, Medium, High radii	 Background Local Neighborhood City 	Street segment	OpenStreetMap roads
Functional Mixture	Population Density Functional Density	 Sparsely populated residential blocks Active Residential blocks Residential activity nodes Non-Residential activity nodes 	Urban Block	Urban Atlas Population estimates by Urban Atlas polygon OpenStreetMap Points of Interest (pois) OpenStreetMap Places of Worship (pofw)
	Functional Diversity	 multi-dimensional; uni-dimensional 		
	Density of Public Open Spaces	with public open spaces;without public open spaces		

Athens's types of built density – Key Results (1st Iteration)



Athens's types of network centrality – Key Results (1st Iteration)



Athens's types of functional mixture – Key Results (1st Iteration)



Urban form types and some open-ended questions



Patterns of build density

Proxy for socio-cultural patterns and processes for production of space?

Patterns of network centrality

Proxy for urban mobility, quantifying human mobility with different modes ?

Patterns of functional mix

Proxy for urban activity and human practices in the urban space?

Urban form and Social form types



Build density typology



Social typology of residential areas (Maloutas & Spyrellis, 2019)

Conclusion

Next Steps (?)

This is the first implementation of our open-data methodology for identifying urban types, and further research is definitely needed. Such as:

- Rethinking the analytical components: e.g. Network centrality for Motorized and Non-motorized network → For active and passive mobility
- A more robust clustering workflow including more sophisticated clustering methods, and use of geoAl approaches
- Implementation and assessment of the developed methodology for other European cities.
- Produce a modular palette / library of planning practices connected to the urban form types and test it as an integrated urbanism-mobility planning support tool for strategic connectivity-proximity planning
- Produce a modular spatial language for facilitating the dialogue for the between built environment experts and non-expert stakeholders in the participatory planning context
- Address urban form types in relation to socio-economical and or sociocultural typologies.

Thank you!

Yannis Paraskevopoulos | parask.yannis@gmail.com